HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

Attorneys and Counselors
11730 Plaza America Drive, Suite 600,
Reston, Virginia 20190
P.O. Box 8910, Reston, Virginia 20195
Phone: (703) 668-8000
Fax: (703) 668-8200

Fax

To:	Examiner Shibru	From:	John Ambrose
Fax:	571-273-7329	Date:	August 31, 2010
Phone:	571-272-7329	Pages:	2 (including cover sheet)
Your Ref.:	10/716,629	Our Ref.:	46500-000558
Re:	Telephonic Interview	CC:	

☐ Urgent   ☐ For Review   ☐ Please Comment   ☐ Please Reply

COMMENTS:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA
Application No.:   10/716,629
Time: *Not yet scheduled*

We plan on discussing the following:

1) We plan on discussing the Examiner's interpretation of the following limitations of claim 1: "the type information identifying whether reproduction being indicated by the at least one playitem is synchronized with reproduction being indicated by the at least one sub-playitem"

The above-referenced limitation is directed to type information that identifies whether synchronization exists between reproduction of items of content. One example would be synchronization between reproduction of audio data using the sub-playitem and reproduction of a still image using the playitem.

With respect to the aforementioned limitation the Examiner references column 6, lines 37-44 and column 5, lines 15-21 of DeMoney both of which discuss synchronization.   However, the synchronization discussed in DeMoney is between playlists and an actual clock time, not between reproduction of playitems and sub-playitems (DeMoney: col. 1, lines 9-12). Accordingly, We would like a better understanding of how the Examiner is reading DeMoney to teach a type information which identifies synchronization between reproduction of playitems and reproduction of sub-playitems as claim 1 recites.

2) We plan on discussing Examiner suggestions for amendments that can move prosecution forward..

989391.1